

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. Box 1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/999,308	12/29/1997	NOBUTSUGU FUJINO	FUJO14691	3706
26304 7.	590 06/23/2003			
KATTEN MUCHIN ZAVIS ROSENMAN			EXAMINER .	
575 MADISON			ENG, GEORGE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2643 DATE MAILED: 06/23/2003	36

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	(h		
Advisory Action	08/999,308	FUJINO ET AL.	(*)		
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
	George Eng	2643			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 09 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average in all rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	IS APPLICATION IN CONDITION oid abandonment of this application) a timely filed amendment which if (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely	ON FOR ALLOWANG ation. A proper reply h places the applica	CE. y to a tion in		
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing by The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 (c)	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ice later than three months after the main	g date of the final rejection. FR 1.136(a) and the appropunt of the fee. The appropriation of the fee.	See MPEP opriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or		
1.⊠ A Notice of Appeal was filed on 09 June 2003. App 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	pellant's Briëf must be filed within R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal c	n the period set forth of the appeal.	ı in		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b					
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth		(see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claim	ns.		
NOTE:					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):		amandma*		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: Section 2.	r reconsideration has been cons ee Continuation Sheet.	sidered but does NO	T place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which wer	e newly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmer explanation of how the new or amended claims v	nt(s) a)⊡ will not be entered or by would be rejected is provided bel	o)∏ will be entered a ow or appended.	and an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	•				
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on i			niner.		
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	<u>32</u> .			
10. Other:		George Log	Rug		
		Géorge Eng Examiner Art Unit: 2643	-		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the claimed features upon which applicant relies (i.e., to isolate only an upper layer application and to store all data in a currently linked to web site other than the data already displayed on the terminal display device) are not recited in the rejected claims.